Casex as-Departmentoofering all the first 15 STEP 1

OFFENDER GRIEVANCE FORM

Page 1 OFFICE ago DONLY

STEP 1 OFFENDER GRIEVANCE FORM Offender Name: Boker. M TDCJ # 1654093 Unit: Housing Assignment: 5207B Unit where incident occurred:	Grievance #: 20142086 W Date Received: 8-26-14 Date Duc: 10-5-14 Grievance Code: 814 Investigator ID #: 184 f Extension Date: 406-2-7-2014
You must try to resolve your problem with a staff member before you submit a formal conpealing the results of a disciplinary hearing. Who did you talk to (name, title)? What was their response? What action was taken?	When?
State your grievance in the space provided. Please state who, what, when, where and the	disciplinary case number if appropriate



Texas Department of Criminal Justice

STEP 2

OFFENDER GRIEVANCE FORM

OFFICE USE ONLY

UGI Recd Dat&EP 0 3 2014

HQ Recd Date: SEP 0 8 2014

•	GRIE VANCE FORM	Date Due: 18/2-6
Offender Name: Michael	Baker TDCJ# 1654093	Grievance Code: 814
Unit: Price Daniel	Housing Assignment:J-207-B	Investigator ID#:
Unit where incident occurred:	Price Daniel	Extension Date:

You must attach the completed Step 1 Grievance that has been signed by the Warden for your Step 2 appeal to be accepted. You may not appeal to Step 2 with a Step 1 that has been returned unprocessed.

The state of the s
Give reason for appeal (Be Specific). I am dissatisfied with the response at Step 1 because
The Warden's response to grievance #2014205674 is flawed for the
following reasons.
A legitimate accommodation for my stomach impairment continues to
be unavailable.
Major Ware's true and accurate comments are noted in my Step 1. At
no time during Major Ware's and my conversation was I advised to inform
dinning room officers of my pass if I required more time to eat. The
subject of my conversation with her was to bring to her attention that
security officers were not recognizing the pass. Furthermore, instructing
me to present a pass that by policy is not acknowledged as valid is
inconsistent with the now known discriminatory policy/practice of prohibiting
the issuance of and the use of a slow eating passes at this unit.
There has not been a single occasion when I have presented my pass to
dinning room security officers that I have been permitted additional time
to eat. In fact, these request have been met with hostility, suspicion,
and condemnation, with dinning room officers parroting the administration's
position in not recognizing slow eating passes.
With policy making administration officials, i.e., Major Ware, now
confirming what medical staff has been stating to me for years, that
security does not want slow eating passes at the unit, a positive
resolution of this matter appears to be improbable. over

Case 5:15-cv-00202-C Document 1-2 Filed 03/17/1	5 Page 3 of 2	7 PageID 12	
			ſ,
This continues to be an ongoing concern that i	s causing me	nhysical	· 4
narm and unnecessary pain and suffering.	o cassing me	<i>p,</i> 0.1.0.1.1	1 78
larm and unnecessary parn and surrering.			
1111/ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
·····································			
	•		j
ffender Signature: M. (5 cm.	Parts: 31	AU9 201	1
	Date:	1007001	
rievance Response:			. ∤
* ************************************		•	
American Control of the Control of t			
	***	-	ł
		an Mainr Warn	,
An investigation has been conducted into your complaint. A statem	ent was optained ind	om wajor ware.	
Major Ware stated that you were told to utilize the 20 minutes that the 20 minutes, to show your pass to the officer and you would be a	is given and ir you co	out not must in	
informed that if you utilized the 20 minutes visiting and talking with	other offenders, voi	Lwould not be	į.
allowed more time. There is no staff misconduct noted. No action w	arranted	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
allowed more time. There is no stall misconduct noted. No action w	arrantes.		Ī
:			1
	•		İ
, in the second of the second	·		
g	•		
			1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
gnature Authority: B. PARKER	Date:	SEP 1 5 2014	
	OFFIC	E USE ONLY	1
eturned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made.	UFFIC Initial Submission	E USE ONLY CGO Initials	s:
1. Grievable time period has expired.	Date UGI Recd:		1
2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*	Date CGO Recd:		
		edImproperly Sub	
3. Originals not submitted. *	Comments:		14
4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*	Date Returned to Offende	er:	h
1		CCO Initialia	ļ
1.5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language.	2nd Submission	CGO inidais: _	
5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language.	2 nd Submission Date UGI Recd:		
6. Inappropriate.*	Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd:		
	Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)Screen	edImproperly Sub	mitted
<u>.</u>	Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)Screen Comments:	edImproperly Sub	mitted
6. Inappropriate.*	Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)Screen Comments: Date Returned to Offende	edImproperly Sub	mitted
6. Inappropriate.*	Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)Screen Comments: Date Returned to Offende 3rd Submission	edImproperly Sub	initted
6. Inappropriate.*	Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: Screen (check one) Screen Comments: Date Returned to Offende 3rd Submission Date UGI Recd:	edImproperly Sub- r:CGO Initials: _	initted
6. Inappropriate.*	Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one) Screen Comments: Date Returned to Offende 3rd Submission Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd:	edImproperly Sub	mitted
6. Inappropriate.*	Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one) Screen Comments: Date Returned to Offende 3rd Submission Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one) Screen	edImproperly Sub- r: CGO Initials: edImproperly Sub-	mitted
<u>.</u>	Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)Screen Comments: Date Returned to Offende 3rd Submission Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)Screen Comments:	edImproperly Sub- r: CGO Initials: edImproperly Sub-	mitted
6. Inappropriate.*	Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one) Screen Comments: Date Returned to Offende 3rd Submission Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one) Screen	edImproperly Sub- r: CGO Initials: edImproperly Sub-	mitted
6. Inappropriate.*	Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)Screen Comments: Date Returned to Offende 3rd Submission Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)Screen Comments:	edImproperly Sub- r: CGO Initials: edImproperly Sub-	mitted

Case 5725-av-10202 Case 5725-av-STEP 1 GRIEVANCE FORM

Received:	MAY	7 3	ZU

Offender Name: Michael Baker TDCJ # 1654093 Unit: Price Daniel Housing Assignment: J-207B Unit where incident occurred: Price Daniel	Grievance Code: 505 Investigator ID #: 7154 7 Extension Date: 100 4 2014 Date Retd to Offender: 100 4 2014
You must try to resolve your problem with a staff member before you submit a formal coappealing the results of a disciplinary hearing. Who did you talk to (name, title)? I-60 to Warden What was their response? No answer What action was taken? None	omplaint. The only exception is when When? 25 May 2014
State your grievance in the space provided. Please state who, what, when, where and the The Price Daniel Unit is not in complience with TDCU policy that allows off	Tenders 20 minutes in the chow
hall to eat. Currently the time given is considerably less than that. Attached	=
have conducted that clearly demonstrates that policy is not being complied wit	h. The IDCJ Offender Handbook,
page 14, section D, rule # 9, states "Offenders will be given 20 minutes to ea	at from the time they enter the
dinning room until they depart".	
This failure to follow policy has been particularly harmful to me as I've h	ad a gastric bypass, a surgical
alteration requiring that I eat slowly, (see and incorporate grievance # 2013	7055). This surgical alteration
physically prevents me from eating quickley. When I attempt to do so I experie	ence substantial pain, vomiting
and dumping syndrome. It's my belief that after years of asking, unsuccessful	ly, for a reasonable accommodation

for my disability, I now suffer from permanent internal damage.

Although, 20 minutes is not sufficient for my needs it is perferable to the far less time that is currently allowed.

• ••	•••	_	L.V.	•		

MAY 2 9 2014

Case 3.13-cv-00202-C Document 1-2 Theu 03/11/13	Page 5 of 27 PageID 14
2. 0. 0044	
MAY 2 9 2014	
on Requested to resolve your Complaint.	
nat TDCJ policy is followed and that I am not retaliated against for this	s grievance.
ender Signature: M. Sur	Date:
evance Response:	
Λ	
	Date: <u>6-03-1</u>
ou are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievance Investee the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.	Date: $b-03-1$ estigator within 15 days from the date of the Step 1 respo
ou are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievance Invertee the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.	Date: <u>6-03-1</u> estigator within 15 days from the date of the Step 1 respo
u are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievance Invertee the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. urned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made. 1. Grievable time period has expired.	
rned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made. Grievable time period has expired. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days.	Date: <u>b-03-1</u> restigator within 15 days from the date of the Step 1 responses OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials:
are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievance Invertee reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. The reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made. Grievable time period has expired. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. * Originals not submitted. *	OFFICE USE ONLY
u are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievance Invertee reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. The reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made. Grievable time period has expired. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. * Originals not submitted. * Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. *	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials:
u are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievance Invested reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. The reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made. Grievable time period has expired. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. Originals not submitted. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. No documented attempt at informal resolution.	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender:
are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievance Invested reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. The reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made. Grievable time period has expired. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. * Griginals not submitted. * Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. * No documented attempt at informal resolution. * No requested relief is stated. *	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender:
are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievance Invertee reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. Trued because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made. Grievable time period has expired. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. * Originals not submitted. * Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. * No documented attempt at informal resolution. * No requested relief is stated. * Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. *	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: 2nd Submission UGI Initials:
are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievance Invested reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. Trued because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made. Grievable time period has expired. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. * Originals not submitted. * Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. * No documented attempt at informal resolution. * No requested relief is stated. * Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. * The issue presented is not grievable.	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: 2nd Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #:
are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievance Invertee reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. Treed because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made. Grievable time period has expired. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. * Originals not submitted. * Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. * No documented attempt at informal resolution. * No requested relief is stated. * Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. * The issue presented is not grievable. Redundant, Refer to grievance #	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: 2nd Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used:
are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievance Invested reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. Treed because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made. Grievable time period has expired. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. * Griginals not submitted. * Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. * No documented attempt at informal resolution. * No requested relief is stated. * Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. * Redundant, Refer to grievance #	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: 2nd Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used:
are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievance Invente reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. Treed because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made. Grievable time period has expired. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. * Originals not submitted. * Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. * No documented attempt at informal resolution. * No requested relief is stated. * Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. * The issue presented is not grievable. Redundant, Refer to grievance #	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: 2nd Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Recd from Offender:
u are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievance Invested reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. Irrned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made. Grievable time period has expired. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. * Griginals not submitted. * Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. * No documented attempt at informal resolution. * No requested relief is stated. * Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. * Redundant, Refer to grievance #	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: 2nd Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: UGI Initials:
u are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievance Inverted reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. Irrned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made. 1. Grievable time period has expired. 2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. * 3. Originals not submitted. * 4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. * 5. No documented attempt at informal resolution. * 6. No requested relief is stated. * 7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. * 8. The issue presented is not grievable. 9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: 2nd Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Grievance #:
 Grievable time period has expired. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. * Originals not submitted. * 	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: 2ad Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Screening Criteria Used: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used:
u are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievance Inverted reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form. Irrned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made. 1. Grievable time period has expired. 2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. * 3. Originals not submitted. * 4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. * 5. No documented attempt at informal resolution. * 6. No requested relief is stated. * 7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. * 8. The issue presented is not grievable. 9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: 2nd Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Grievance #:

ITHOMAS A. Mc CHUGHN AW/

Appendix F

Case 5:15-cv-00202-C Document 1-2 Filed 03/17/15 Page 6 of 27 PageID 15

	<u> </u>	AP	KIL 2014	
19	B- 0348-0358=10 Mi	nutee	Norwood	Danaslas
	L- 1017-1031=14	H		Pancakes
			Ms.Norman	Hamburger
	D- 416- 429=13	,,	Westbrook	Hamburger
20	B- 0405-0429=13	11	Norwood	Eggs
	L- 1036-1050= 8	ff	Rodriguez	Pork Chops
	D- 349- 403=14	11		
	D- 343- 403-14		Rodriguez	Pork Noodle Cass
21	B- 0405-041 3 =12	11	Sgt. Simmons	French Toast
	L- 1036-1050=14	**	Sgt. Rosales	Burger/Pork Patty
	D- 424- 439=19	11	Ham it t	Burger
	2 424 433 13		i i Carramas C	barger
22	- 0050000051000 ¹³	n .	_	
22	B-09593040551332 '		Brown	Pancakes
	L- 1040-1056=16	11	Gallegoes	Burger
	D- 318- 335=17	11	Alvarez	Burger
			:	J
23	B- 0357-0406= 9	11	Lt.Vondra	Face
دے		11		Eggs
	L- 1043-1058=15		Bivens	Burger
	D- 318- 335=17	17	Bivens	Beef Pot Pie
24	B- 0407-0419=12	11	Flores	Pancakes
	L- 1105-1116=11	ŧī	Martinez	Pork Chops
		ŧı	Olivarez	Taco's
	D- 418- 432=14		Olivates	Taco, 2
25	B- 0444-0458=14	91	Simmons	Fried Eggs
	L- 1051-1107=16	ti	Hernandez	Burger
	D- 433- 449=16	**	Delarose	Pork Nookle Cass
	5 100 777 10			
200	D 0416 0404 0	31	Co. 1.1 days	Domanica
26 .	B- 0416-0424= 8		Sullivan	Pancakes
	L- 1016-1030=14	11	Paneda	Tuna
	D- 403- 417= 씨	11	Mrs.Dean	Burger
	and the second s		•	_
27	B- 0 1 15-0423= 8	**	Martinez	Eggs
4 /	L- 1047-1103=16	**	Mrs.Norman	Pork Patty
		12		-
	D- 418- 432=14	"	West	Burger
			•	
28	B- 0423-0432= 9	77	Cardoza	Pancakes
	L- 1040-1053=13	17	Foster	Fish
	D- 419- 433=14	17	Mrs.Norman	Eggs
	0 410-14		MES-NOLMON	52.20
00	D 043 E 0400 E	71	 3	Dava 1
29	B-0415-0422=7		Cardoza	Pancakes
	L- 1013-1023=10	Ħ	Petree	Burger
	D- 411- 423=13	9\$	Bivens	Tuna
30	B- 0353-0402=10		Tay ò or	Pancakes
30		11		
	L- 1043-1045=12		Petree	Burger
	D- 412- 428=16	11	Petree	Spaghetti
		13		
		(P	1AY 2014/	
		. /	/	
1	B- 0347-0353= 8	"	Taylor	Pancakes
,Ł	L- 1040-1053=13	26	Smith	Chicken
	D- 418- 432=14	11	S ombs	Burger
2	B -0346 6-0354=15	11	?	Eggs
	L- 1016-1031=15	31	Hernandez	Burger
	D- 417- 430 0=13	81	?	Tuna
	D -11 -100-10		-	

Case 5:15-cv-00202-C Document 1-2 Filed 03/17/15 Page 7 of 27 PageID 16

3	B- 0348-0358=10 Mi L- 1013-1024=11 D- 424-4396199 (A	nutes "	? Thielain Watson	Pancakes Pork Patty Burger
4	B- Missed L- 1018-1031=13	1) 11	? Perez	? Burger
5	D- 403- 419=16 B- 0401411=10	11	Paneda Pena	Beef Noodle Cass Pancakes
	L- 1113-1200=17 D- 447- 503=16	11 H	Garza Garza	Nachos Burger
6	B- 002230035 5=12 L- 1201-1219=13 D- 403- 419=16	11 11 11	Norwood Smith Watson	Eggs Pork Patty Meatloaf
7	B-0405-0416=11 L- 1201-1219=18 D- 401- 414=13	11 11	Norwood Olivarez Plyant	Eggs Tuna Burger
8	B- 0413-0422= 9 9 L- 1038-1052=16 D- 413- 428=15	11 11	Ajai Thielen ?	Pancakes Burger Pork Patty
9 .	B- 0423-0424=11 L- 1101-1115=14 D- 409- 4 433=14	tt 11	Diaz Olivarez Thielen	Eggs Pork Patty Burger
10	B- 0358-0406= 8 L- 1133-1146=13 D- 408- 420=12	H H H	Simmons Thielen Smith	Pancakes Burger Maaykeaf i
11	B- 04 08 -042 0=12 V L- 1018-1030=12 D- 401- 417=16	Smith D	? Domineguez Domineguez	Eggs Fish Epaghetfi
12	B- 0418-0425= 7 L- 1011-1019= 8 D- 521- 538=17	21 71 11	Durben Foster Paneda	Pancakes Pork Patty Burger
13	B- 0406-0418=12 L- 1001-1017=16 D- 508- 525=17	15 19 13	Donovan West Sgt.Lopez	Eggs Meatloaf Burger
14	B- 0423-0433=10 L- 1106-1123=17 D- 426- 4433+1 7 17	11 H	Parkhurst Foster Bevins	French To A st Smothered S r eak Eggs
15	B- 0419-0433=19 L- 1006-1020=14 D- 341-356=15	11 11	? Sgt.Seals Sgt.Lopez	Pancakes Pork Patty Fish
16	B- 042500436=11 L. 1032-1047=15 D- 403- 4 419=16	11	Sgt.Garza Villa Villa	Eggs Chicken Burger

MAY 2014 cont.

17 B- N/A (Johnny Sacks) 11 D-18 B- N/A (Johnny Sacks) L- " D- N" 19 B- N/A (Johnny Sacks) L- 1017-1029=12 Minutes Pork Patty Lt. Durben D- 425- 443=18 Galleros Smothered Steak 20 B- 0340-0352=12 Sanchez Eggs L- 1037-1053=16 Slayton Fish D- 425- 442=17 Hernandez Pork Noodle Cass.

31 Day Analysis

Breakfast minutes total - 305 minutes, avg. 9.83 minutes

Lunch minutes total -422 minutes, avg.13.29 minutes

Dinner minutes total - 462 minutes, avg.14.90 minutes



Texas Department of Criminal Justice

STEP 2

OFFENDER GRIEVANCE FORM

OFFICE USE ONLY

JUN 1 6 2014

JUN 1 9 2014

Grievance #: 2014162758

HQ Recd Date:

\mathcal{D}'	GRIEVANCE FORM	Date Due:
Offender Name: Michael Baker	TDCJ# 1654093	Grievance Code: 505
	ng Assignment:	Investigator ID#:
Unit where incident occurred: Price	Daniel Unit	Extension Date:
	ep 1 Grievance that has been signed by the War p 2 with a Step 1 that has been returned unprocess	
The time allowed for offenders to	n dissatisfied with the response at Step 1 because eat in the dinning room remains woefully i	nadequate. Despite the
administration's promise to correct t	chis problem, an additional analysis conduc	ted during June 6,2014 thru
June 11, 2014 clearly shows no change	es have taken place, (see attached analysis	#2).
It appears the unit is not going t	co comply. This complaint has been made in	the past only to see its
compliance last for a short duration	until it reverts back to its old ways. Mem	cers of the security staff
are often over heard boasting on how	quickly they can run chow, it appears to b	e a competition among them.
This continuing deprivation requires	something more permanent be put in place.	
		A STATE OF THE STA

Case 5:15-cv-00202-C Document 1-2 Filed 03/17/15	Page 10 of 27 Page	eID 19
31.00		
		The second secon
Offender Signature:	Date:	014
Grievance Response:		
Your complaint has been reviewed and noted. And was conducted and addressed at the Unit Level. Y that you have 20-minutes to eat from the time you you leave. Review of your Step II grievance indicatinformation that would warrant further investigat No further action is warranted. MARK ROTH	ou were correctly of a enter the chow ho ates no evidence or ion into your allega	advised all until
Signature Authority:	Date:	
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made.	OFFICE I	USE ONLY
	Initial Submission	CGO Initials:
☐ 1. Grievable time period has expired.	Date UGI Recd:	
☐ 2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*		
☐ 3. Originals not submitted. *	(check one)Screened	
4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*	Comments:	*
☐ 5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language.		
3. Manerous use of vulgar, indecent, of physically enfecteding languages		CGO Initials:
	2 nd Submission	CGO Initials:
☐ 6. Inappropriate.*	2 nd Submission	CGO Initials:
☐ 6. Inappropriate.*	2 nd Submission Date UGI Recd:	CGO Initials:
☐ 6. Inappropriate.*	2nd Submission Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)Screened	CGO Initials:Improperly Submitted
	2nd Submission Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)Screened	CGO Initials:Improperly Submitted
☐ 6. Inappropriate.* CGO Staff Signature:	2nd Submission Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)Screened Comments: Date Returned to Offender: 3nd Submission	CGO Initials: Improperly Submitted CGO Initials:
	2nd Submission Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one) Screened Comments: Date Returned to Offender: 3rd Submission Date UGI Recd:	CGO Initials: Improperly Submitted CGO Initials:
	2nd Submission Date UGI Recd: Check one)Screened Comments: Date Returned to Offender: 3nd Submission Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd:	CGO Initials: Improperly Submitted CGO Initials:

Comments: __

Date Returned to Offender: _

Grievance # 2014152758

ANALYSIS # 2 JUNE @018

June 6	B	0430-0441=11	Bishop	Eggs
	L	1106-1121=15	Theilen	Pork Patty
	D	430- 446=16	Martinez	Meat loaf
7	B	0345- 0359=14	Bishop	French Toast
	C	0957-1013=16	Byrđ	Tuna
	D	355- 414=19	Ortiz	Burger
8	B	0413-0422= 9	?	Pancakes
	L	1123-1135=12	Hamit	BorkePatty
	D	457- 508=11	Ortiz	Pork Patty
9	B	0357-0407=10	Lt. Vondra	Eggs
	L	0957-1009=12	Sgt. Seils	Burger
	D	407- 422=15	Hernandez	Pork Patty
2 0	B	0340-0351=11	Simms	Pancakes
	L	1126-1138=12	Alverez	Pork Patty
	D	447- 502=15	Thielen	Meat loaf
11	B	0407-0416= 9	Lt. Vondra	Fried Eggs
	L	1111-1124=13	Ovilvarez	Tuna
	D	605- 619=14	Lt, Vondra	Spagetti

Due to the unexpected Lock down, this analysis is only for 6 of the 15 days that were available to file the Step 2. June 12 thru present. No hot meals were available.

Breakfast	average,	71	minute	s11	minutes	average
Lunch	11	80	f ?	13	11	VE
Dinner	**	90	11	15	99	W

Case 5:15-cv-00202-C Document 1-2 Filed 03/17/15 Page 12 of 27 PageID 21

Texas Departm



OFFERDER GRIEVANCE FORM

Date Received:

Offender Name: MICHAEL BAKER TDCJ# 1659093 Unit: DANIEL Housing Assignment: P32 Unit where incident occurred: DANIEL	Date Due:
You must try to resolve your problem with a staff member before you submit a formal conspealing the results of a disciplinary hearing. Who did you talk to (name, title)? T,尼巴克 此人、 What was their response?	When? 30 MOV 2012
State your grievance in the space provided. Please state who, what, when, where and the REFER TO GRIEVANCE # 2011 06 593 (CONTINUING MEDICAL 155	` · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
BYPASS SURGERY. THIS RADICAL PROCEDURE SURGICIALLY ALTERED M	Y GHSTIZO INTESTIMAL TIZACK.
IT REMOVED 31 OF INTESTINE CREATED & STOMMENS OF WHICH ON	W ONE IS VIABLE AND ITS
VOLUME CAPACITY HE LIMITED TO 4 02. THEATRY INTO AND OUT OF I	HIS WORKABLE STOMMACH HUAS
SEEN DECREASED TO THE SIZE OF A DENCIL TO PHYSICALLY RESTIRICT ME	
OVER EATING. A WHATEHOUN WINTEMUM of 20-25 WINDUTES OF ACTUA	AL EATING IS REQUIRED FOR
HE TO CONSUME A MEML. AND ATTEMPTS TO VAILY FROM THESE REST	PICTIONS CHUIE INE GREAT
AIN / DISCOUTERET, E.G., POST OPERATIVE DUMPING EYNDROME.	
THS IS A DITYSICAL IMPAREMENT WHICH SUBSTANTIALLY LIMITS MY ABILITY	•
ACCOMADIATION, THAT IS ALLOWED BY TOCS POLICY, THIS ALLOWS WEDIC	AL TO ISSUE "SLOW EATING" DASSES
TO OFFEMPER WITH EATING DISHBLITIES. I. HAVE SEEN R.N. PATRICK .	
WHICH HAVE DENIED WE A SLOW EATING DASS. OTHER OFFENDERS	THERE IS ATLEAST ONE OTHER
OFFRUDER ON THIS UNIT WITH SUCH A PASS. TDCJ OFFENDER HIAND	BOOK, DAGE 14, SECTION D.
LULE # 9, STATES "OFFENDER WILL BE GIVEN 20 MINUTES TO EAT	
THE DIWNING ROOM UNTIL THEY DEPART" THIS IS NOT BEING COMPLI	
DERSONEL. OFFENDER ARE GIVEN 10 MINUTES IN THE DINNING MALL	THIS DROMIBITS ME FROM
CONSUMING THE 2200 CALURIES PROVIDED IN THE DIMING HITTL. RESULT	•

EFFECTS OF THE SURGERY BEING REDUCED AND WHATERED

CONSPIRACY BY MEDICAL AND SECURITY DERSONEL TO DISCRIMINATE

CONLECT THIS; R.N. PATIZICK MUD T. ROSE M.D. HAVE DRDERED MU EVENING SNACK (SANDWICH) I MM STILL REQUIRED TO EAT MY MEALS FASTER THAN POSSIBLE, THIS HAS RESULTED IN 'THE

PIAN . I BELIEVE THIS IS A

DEC n 7 2012

AGHINST ME.

Case 5:15-cv-00202-C Document 1-2 Filed 03	/17/15 Page 13 of 27 PageID 22		
— DEC (1-7-2012-			
Requested to resolve your Complaint. HT THIS STUMPTION BE FURLY INVESTIGATED AND MONTORED	To Make W. Turker, Tibers and the second		
Sovier Goirbit manie 4th years buttons you bedon whomes			
der Signature: W. Bu	Date: 7 DEC 2012		
ance Response:			
	and an evening snack daily for 90 days but no order		
were seen on 11-30-12 for request a slow eating pass. You were order	ed an evening snack daily for 30 days out no order		
a slow eating pass.	•		
:			
re dissatisfied with the Step I response, you may submit a Step 2 (1-128) to the Unit Grievance	4 Compyth Par F 1/A) Date: 18-11-12		
re dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (1-128) to the Unit Grievance	e Investigator within 15 days from the date of the Step 1 response.		
Grievable time period has expired.			
Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. *	OFFICE USE ONLY		
Originals not submitted. *	Initial Submission UGI Initials:		
Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. *	Grievance #:		
No documented attempt at informal resolution. *	Screening Criteria Used:		
No requested relief is stated.	Date Reed from Offender:		
Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. *	Date Returned to Offender:		
The issue presented is not grievable.	2 nd Submission UGI Initials:		
Redundant, Refer to grievance #	Grievanee #:		
Illegible/Incomprehensible. *	Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender:		
Inappropriate. *	Date Returned to Offender:		
rinted Name/Signature:	3 ^{m.} Submission UGI Initials:		
cation of the screening criteria for this grievance is not expected to adversely			
the offender's health.	Screening Criteria Used:		
	Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender:		

Back (Revised 11-2010)



Texas Department of Criminal Justice

STEP 2

OFFENDER GRIEVANCE FORM

OFFICE USE ONLY

Grievance #: 2013056455

	GKI	EVAINCE FURIN	Date Due:
Offender Name: M(CHAEL	BAKER	TDCJ# 1654 093	Grievance Code: 048
Unit: DANIEL	Housing Assignment:	^	Investigator ID#: 25
Unit where incident occurred:	DANIEL		Extension Date:
-		· ·	

You must attach the completed Step 1 Grievance that has been signed by the Warden for your Step 2 appeal to be accepted. You may not appeal to Step 2 with a Step 1 that has been returned unprocessed.

Give reason for appeal (Be Specific). I am dissatisfied with the response at Step 1 because
KEPER TO GRIEVANCE #2013051955, THE STEP 1 RESPONSE DOES NOT ADDRESS THE FACT THAT I
AM NOT ALLOWED THE TIME REQUIRED TO EAT MY WEALS. ATTEMPTING TO EAT A MEAL IN THE
TIME ALLOWED IS CHUSING ME UNNECESSARY PAIN AND DAMAGE.
NURSE DAVIS (FORMALLY KNOWN AS PATRICK), DR. ROSE, M.O., AND NURSE FISK HAVE ALL
STATED "SECURITY DOES NOT WANT SLOW ENTING PASSES ISSUED" AS EVIDENSED BY THE CHALICAL
NOTES FROM MY CONSULATION WITH MURSE DAVIS ON B/19/11, (SEE ATTINCHED). MURSE DAVIS'S
POSITION NOW IS " IF I CONTINUE TO REQUEST THIS PASS THE ONLY OPTION WILL BE TO GRIND
MY FOOD INTO A LIQUID DIET. AS EVIDENCED BY THE CHAICAL MOTES FROM MY CONSULATION
WITH MURSE DAVIS ON 12/12/12, (SEE ATTACHED). WHEN I MISISTED THAT AN EXTRA LO MINUTES
TO EAT MY FOOD WOULD BE A REMSONABLE ACCOMADATION FOR MY DISABILITY, MURSE DAVIS
STATED " GRINDING MY FOOD WOULD BE A REASONABLE ACCOMMINATION " A THREAT?
MEDICAL SHOULD NOT ALLOW SECURITY TO DICTIME MEDICAL TREATMENTS. GRINDING MY FOOD INTO
A LIBOUD DIET AS OPPOSED TO JUST ALLOWING ME A FEW EXTRA MINUTES TO BAT IS NOT
REASONABLE AND FAILS TO MEET CONTEMPTARY STANDARDS OF HEALTH CARE, I BELIEVE THIS IS
DISCOMMENTION AGAINST MY SPECTIC DISABILITY IS AND IS IN VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS
DISMAILITY ACT (ADA). THIS APPEARS TO MISO BE IN VIOLATION OF SEVERAL TOCS POLICIES INCLUDING
BUT NOT LIMITED TO RETHLATION AND MISTIGEATMENT OF OFFENDERS AND TX GOV CODE ANN., PENAL
CODE 39.04, AND SHOULD BE INVESTIGATED.
DEC 2 1 2012

Case 5:15-cv-00202-C	Document 1-2	Filed 03/17/	15 Page 15 of 2	7 PageID 24
	•	•	1	
e en	•	•		
			4 -	
*	<u>.</u>			•
		•		
Offender Signature:			Date:	
Grievance Response:	•	· · ·		
of the grievance and documentation has been				•
ntation reveals that you arrived to the TDCI so d for the need to have a "slow eating" pass by the December 2012 your weight was 228 lbs.	ut the providers have not	deemed it necessary	for you to have such a pass.	. Your weight in 2010 wa
ate medical treatment. If you feel you require	further evaluation you ma	y submit a Sick Call	Request to the medical depart	ment.
	• •		•	
	* · ;		•	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	. •	•	
• •			,	•
	•			
	•			•
		Robert I Health Sc	T. Kane Jr. Trylogs Div. PS	
ignature Authority:	Kan	. 0	Date:	3/11/13
eturned because: *Resubmit this form	when corrections are:	made	OFFIC	CE USE ONLY
7 4 - Ondoniello 44		•	Initial Submission	CGO initials:
1. Grievable time period has expi	ireu.		Date UGI Recd:	
2. Illegible/Incomprehensible. *	•	• • •	Date CGO Reed:	Improperty Submitted
3. Originals not submitted. *	•		Comments:	
4. Inappropriate/Excessive attack			Date Returned to Offender:	
5. Malicious use of vulgar, indece	ent, or physically thr	eatening langua		CGO Initials:
6. Inappropriate. *	•	i i i	Date UGI Recd:	
	•	•	Date CGO Reed:	Improperty Submitted
	•	٠	Comments:	,
GO Staff Signature:	, 1, ,		Date Returned to Offender:	
	•		3rd Suhmission	CGO Initials:
•		•	Date UGI Reed:	

I-128 Back (Revised 11-2010)

_Improperly Submitted

Date Returned to Offender

Case 5:15-cv-00202-C Document 2-2 Filed 93/17/15 Page 16 of 27 PageID 25

Texas Departm

Offender Name: MICHAEL BAKER

OFFENDER STEP 1 GRIEVANCE FORM

Date Due:

Grievance Code: ___

----- Appendix F

Offender Name: MICHAEL BAN	CER TDCJ#16540	1 Investigator ID #:	1/08/
Unit: DALHART HO	ousing Assignment: 6109 B	Extension Date:	5/15/11
Unit where incident occurred: [MP	LATE DINNING ROOM	Date Retd to Offer	nder: 04 - 0 = 1
You must try to resolve your problem appealing the results of a disciplinary leading who did you talk to (name, title)?	with a staff member before you submit nearing.	a formal complaint. The onl When?	-
•	HU BE DONE , DIDN'T SEEM INTERSE		
What action was taken?			
State your grievance in the space provi	ded. Please state who, what, when, who	ere and disciplinary case nur	nber if appropriate.
I'VE HAD GASTRIC BYPASS AN	D REQUIRE MOVE TIME TO EAT	THAT I HAVE BEEN G	WEN. THE
OPENING, INTO AND OUT OF, AS	WELL AS MY STOMACH, HAS BI	E SURGICALLY ALTERE	ED, THIS
DREVENTS WE FROM EATING	QUICKLY, SINCE MY ARRIVALT	TO TOCK I'VE NEVER	BEEN ABLE TO
CLUPLETELY FINISH A MEAL LA	I THE S-10 MINUTES GIVEN.	BRINGING ANY OF THE	E FOOD ON MY
TRAY BACK TO MY CELL IS CO	NSIDERED STEALING AND CONT	MABAND . WHY ALLOW	ING ME
DDITIONAL TIME TO EAT IS S	UCH A ISSUE ?		Made 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10
I HAVE SPOKEN TO SEVERAL	OFFICER AND MEDICUL AT EX	OU OF THE THREE UN	ITS I'VE BEEN
ASSIGNED CONCERNING TITLS F	PROBLEM WITH NO SUCCESS.	ALL I AM MSKING FO	OR IS A LITTLE
MORE TIME, 20 MINUTES TO	TAL EATING TIME, NOT 5-10-	-15 MINUTE STANDING LA	N LINE THEN 5-LO
MINUTES TO EAT, THIS IS ALS	O A NUTICITION PROBLEM WHE	NI CAN ONLY CONSUM	RE 4070-5070 OF
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MEAL BECAUSE OF THE SPEED I		
SINCELLY	•		
M.Ba	K		
	Comment of the second	•	
	<u> </u>		
·			
-127 Front (Revised 9-1-2007) YO	UR SIGNATURE IS REQUIRED ON I	BACK OF THIS FORM	(OVER)

Case 5.15-CV-00202-C Document 1-2 Filed	03/17/15 Page 17 01 27 Pag	JeiD 26
	<u></u>	
ion Requested to resolve your Complaint.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
on requested to resorve your Companie.		
MORE TIME (ID HIDITIONAL MINUTES) TO EAT. A SI	2	
,	34	
PERHADS 1 THISLE RESERVED FOR THIS OR SIMULAR	1550ES?	
ender Signature: M. (Eu	Date: 2-24-11	
evance Response:		
1/1/	ال	N (11
v mt-handen	Acce Mandam Nata	.4-5-11
Sax Committee appears to the same state of the s		entra ser i m
rned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made. 1. Grievable time period has expired.	OFFICE USE OF	NLY
Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. *	Initial Submission UGI I	nitials:
Originals not submitted. *	Gricvance #:	
. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. *	Screening Criteria Used:	
. No documented attempt at informal resolution. *	Date Recd from Offender:	
No requested relief is stated. *	Date Returned to Offender:	
. No requested rener is stated. * . Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. *	2 nd Submission UGI	minais:
. Mancious use of vurgar, indecent, or physically infeatening language. The issue presented is not grievable.	Grievance #:Screening Criteria Used:	
Redundant, Refer to grievance #	Date Recd from Offender:	
). Illegible/Incomprehensible. *	t Date Reculioni Uniencei	
-		
1. Inappropriate. *	Date Returned to Offender:	
Signature:	Date Returned to Offender: 3 rd Submission UGI	Initials:
	Date Returned to Offender: 2 rd Submission UGI Grievance #:	Initials:
7 Back (Revised 9-1-2007)	Date Returned to Offender: 3 rd Submission UGI	Initials:

Appendix F

Date Returned to Offender: _



Texas Department of Criminal Justice

1	STEP 2 GRI	OFFENDER IEVANCE FORM	NO Recd Date: APR 2 1 2011
Offender Name:	11CHAEL BAKER	TDCJ# 1654 093	Grievance Code: 505
Unit: DALHART	Housing Assignment:	G109 B	Investigator ID#: 1303
Unit where incident (occurred: <u>DALHART</u>		Extension Date:
You must attac accepted. You	ch the completed Step 1 Grievance th may not appeal to Step 2 with a Step I	at has been signed by the Warder that has been returned unprocess	n for your Step 2 appeal to be ed.
Give reason for appeal	(Be specific). I am dissatisfied with	the response at Step 1 because	
	AM APPEALING THE DEC	ISION of THE STEP 1 R	ESPONSE # JOHIOL593
•	S RESPONSE IS FLAWED, I	77	
		n	
	N THE STED 1 GRIEVANCE I :	4	
• ī.	OCCASIONS AT THEH OF THE	THREE ODITS I HAVE BE	EN ASSIGNED TO AT TOCK, WIT
NO SUCCESS.	AMEINEALLA YUAR TO	Angelon B. M. A. La Market	MATERIAL MATERIAL COMPANY
-	ONSIDERING THAT IT IS IM	`	
	LIC BYPASS" OPERATION IV		BEEN SURGICALLY ALTERED
			EASONABLE ACCOMMODATION.
			SUCH A TABLE RESERVED FOR
	ssued passes to the inmat	•	
	and the second s		EREO BEFORE A RESPONSE WAS
IVEN TO ME.			
4) "REASONABLE	ACCOMMODATION" FOR A	YALID REASON	
4 11			
*!:			
		<u> </u>	le vi
•			
: -	. 1		
		_	
•		•	

OFFICE USE ONLY

Case 5:15-cv-00202-C Document	1-2 Filed 03/17/15	5 Page 19 of 27 PageID 28
		7-
11.30 111 18	7	
	1	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	J	Special Control of the Control of th
	1	
	1	**************************************
Offender Signature:	it le	Date: 4-14-201/
Grievance Response:		
at Step 1. No further action is warra		
	1 1 1 1	
Signature Authority: Muy Lun	Claws	
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections	are made.	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission CGO Initials: Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd:
1. Grievable time period has expired.		(check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted
2. Illegible/Incomprehensible. *	\$ 18	Comments:
3. Originals not submitted. *	2.4	Date Returned to Offender: 2 nd Submission CGO Initials:
4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. *		Date UGI Recd:
5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically t	il hreatening language.	Date CGO Recd:
6. Inappropriate. *		(check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted
		Date Returned to Offender:
• .		Date Returned to Offender: 3rd Submission CGO Initials:
000.0		Date UGI Reed:
CGO Staff Signature:		Date CGO Recd:
I-128 Back (Revised 9-1-2001)	\$	(check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted
•		Comments:

Date Returned to Offender.

Correctional Managed Care MD/MLP - SICK CALL EXAM

Patient Name: BAKER, MICHAEL A TDCJ#: 1654093 Date: 08/19/2011 09:06 Facility: DANIEL (DL)

Age: 49 year Race: W Sex: male

Most recent vitals from 8/19/2011: BP: 122 / 87 (Sitting); Wt: 233 Lbs.; Height: 73 In.; Pulse: 81 (Sitting); Resp: 16 /

min; Temp: 97.5 (Oral)

Allergies: NO KNOWN ALLERGIES

Patient Language: ENGLISH Name of interpreter, if required:

Current Medications:

APLISOL 50TESTS/5ML VL ML, 0 ML INTRADERMA ONCE

SCR INITIATED?	Х	YES	Date Received: 8/14/11
SCH INITIATED:		NO	

Today's Problem:

c/o wt problem and nerve pain to face

offender states he was beat up at the holiday unit by another offender and now believes he has nerve damage to the left side of his face because it twitches all the time and is numb and very painful, states he had an mri done in Huntsville dec 2010 but doesn't remember the name of the hospital, also states he had gastric bypass approximately four years ago and has went from 252-233 in 8 wks states he has to swallow his food rapidly here and that cause pain and he has a hard time digesting certain foods states he is hypoglycemic and needs the hs snack not only for hypoglycemia but for protein states he doesn't get the proper amount of protein he needs

S: Recently arrived on this unit. See NN above for history. Since getting on this unit he states he is getting more food and is getting longer to eat. Requests slow eating pass. Has had wt loss but BMI remains 31.

Also complaining of numbness to left cheek up to his ear with constant twitching although he has constant pain 3/10 to that cheek.

Reports low blood sugars around 8pm every day. Is requesting snack.

- Alert male in NAD
 HEENT-TM intact bilat, PERRL, EOMI, facial twitch left below eye
- A: Parasthesia/neuoopathy face Wt loss post gastic bypass Hyperlipidemia

Plan is as follows: 1. Will start tegretal 100mg po bid x 30 days with ref #11, Request non formulary approval for this strength due to usage for neuropathy and this is the recommended starting dose

- 2. Issue pass x 30 days to report to medical for shaking, sinking spells for accucheck
- 3. Weekly wt x 4
- 4. CMP, TSH, lipid, CBC
- 5. Discussed slow eating pass, security discourages this and will wait and see how he does on

his unit before considering alternatives

- 6. Discussed supplements, will check lab and blood sugars before making a decision
- 7. Follow up in 1 month + CCC hyperlipidemia
- 8. CBC,CMP q 3 months while on tegretal (will need to be put in after this one)

Correctional Managed Care MD/MLP - SICK CALL EXAM

Patient Name: BAKER, MICHAEL A TDCJ#: 1654093 Date: 08/19/2011 09:06 Facility: DANIEL (DL)

Procedures Ordered:

*CBC W/DIFF {BABYDMCD PSYLBPSZDBHVCNESLDAHEPHP}: physical examination

*COMPREHENSIVE METABOLIC PANEL (CMP) {PSYLABPHEPSZDBHVCNBFAHEPESLDHPESLD}:physical

examination

*THYROID STIMULATING HORMONE [TSH]{CNBFPSYLDMCDTPBPDBLEV2}:

gastric operation nec

LIPID PANEL {CNDBBFHVPSYLDMCDNBP}: gastric operation nec

Electronically Signed by PATRICK, DONNA L. RN, GNP on 08/19/2011. ##And No Others##

CORRECTIONAL MANAGED CARE CLINIC NOTES

Patient Name: BAKER, MICHAEL A TDCJ#: 1654093 Date: 12/12/2012 11:18 Facility: DANIEL

(DL)

Age: 50 year Race: W Sex: male

Most recent vitals from 12/12/2012: BP: 133 / 97 (Sitting); Wt: 223 Lbs.; Height: 72 In.; Pulse: 59

(Sitting); Resp: 16 / min; Temp: 97.8 (Oral) CURRENT PEAK FLOWS: PF 1:; PF 2:; PF 3: PRIOR PEAK FLOWS: PF1:; PF 2:; PF 3:

Allergies: NO KNOWN ALLERGIES

Patient Language: ENGLISH Name of interpreter, if required:

Current Medications:

GABAPENTIN 300MG CAPSULE # 3 CAPS ORAL TWICE DAILY for 30 Days NF APPROVAL #694538A [EXP 12/4/13] ORDERING FACILITY: DANIEL (DL)
ORDERING PROVIDER: ROSE, TERRANCE L

REFILLS: 0 / 11 EXPIRATION DATE: 11/29/2013 03:14:00PA

COMPLIANCE: 83.33 %

Today's Problem: OFFENDER SAW PROVIDER ON 12 4 AND RECCEIVED NEW ORDER TO INCREASE GABAPENTIN 600MG TO 900MG BID. OFFENDER NOW STATES THAT SINCE INCREASING HIS MEDS HE NOW FEELS LIKE HE IS HIGH. "FEELS DIZZY WITHOUT BEING DIZZY" STATES THAT IT IS LIKE HAVING A OUT OF BODY FEELING OFFENDER WANTS TO DECREASE MED BACK TO PREVIOUS DOSAGE

12/12/2012 11:18

S: See note above. He also wants to readdress the slow eating pass request.

O: Alert male in NAD

A: Facial parasthesia History of gastric bypass

Plan is as follows: Request non-form approval to decrease gabapentin to 600mg bid due to reported side effects x 30 days with ref #11

Instructed to request the 600mg at the pill window until the dose is changed, he can refuse 1 tablet each window but reminded not to take the pills and pocket or throw away the 3rd tablet until the dose is changed in the computer.

Informed IM that a slow eating pass is not indicated due to no wt loss. If wt loss occurs he can get a ground diet to accommodate his history of gastric bypass. He has current ordered to be weighed monthly to assess this.

Procedures Ordered:

Date Time 12/12/2012 11:29AM Description
MED1-BRIEF OFFICE VISIT (F)

Diagnosis misc diagnosis Comments

Special Instructions

CORRECTIONAL MANAGED CARE CLINIC NOTES

Patient Name: BAKER, MICHAEL A TDCJ#: 1654093 Date: 12/12/2012 11:18 Facility: DANIEL (DL)

Electronically Signed by DAVIS, DONNA L. RN, GNP on 12/12/2012. ##And No Others##

31 July 2014

TDCJ - CID
Attn. Rick Thaler, Dir.
P.O.Box 99
Huntsville, TX. 77342-0099

Re: ADA Complaint, Grievance No's 2014152758, 2013056955 and 2011106593

Dear Director Thaler:

The purpose of this letter is to resolve the issues presented in the grievances noted above. The local medical staff will not make a reasonable accommodation of my medical need for a "slow eating pass" for my pre-incarceration gastric bypass surgery. I believe their refusal is contrary to both the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Rehabilitation Act (RA).

The ADA defines "disability" to be a physical impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities. Gastric bypass surgery permanently and substantially limits the ability to eat and effects the digestion process. Eating is recognized to be a major life activity. Griffin v. UPS, 661 F.3d 216 222 (5th Cir.2011); Waldrip v.Gen.Elec.Co., 325 F.3d 652,655 (5th Cir.1996). The ADA also defines discrimination to include the failure to make reasonable accommodations for the known physical limitations of an individual.

At this time I believe I am being discriminated against by Dr T.Rose M.D., and the unit medical staff by their failure to make the reasonable accommodation of my physical limitaions while eating by refusing to issue a medical "slow-eating" pass. Such a pass would not alter or interfere with unit operational security, (see Health Care Policy # A-08.8).

To discourage me from presenting medical complaints resulting from their disregard of my medical needs, I have been threatened by the clinic staff: If you continue to complain you will have your food ground up to insure that you can eat the entire meal in the time given." The clinic staff has stated that grinding my food up would be a reasonable accommodation. That claim is not credible as it would be more labor intensive than allowing me additional time to eat. This solution would be contrary to health care policy which states that a pureed diet is "reserved" for patients who are not able to safelychhew a mechanical soft diet." (see policy # F-47.1-Therapeutic Diets).

Contributing to this problem is the failure of the security staff to allow even the time required by policy for an inmate to eat. Competition to be the quickest shift to feed the unit is encouraged. (see grievance # 2014152758 along with the noted attachments).

The medical staff has noted in my record on 19 August 2011 that "Security discourges this" type of medical pass. Regardless, such a pass would not affect unit security or Food Service staff, and is allowed by health care policy.

Because of the threat I am reluctant to continue to present any complaints of further side effects to the medical staff resulting from the bypass surgery and their failure to address my complaints in a meaningful, and reasonable way. The known side effects include extreme pain, vomiting, and gastric dumping syndrome. I should not be threatened with an altered diet as a result of my complaints.

Your assistance in this matter will be greatly appreciated.

Michael Baker TDCJ # 1654093 Price Daniel Unit 938 South FM 1673 Snyder, TX. 79549

Sincerely,



Case 5:15-cv-0070XAS DOEPARTMENTIO PROPRIO PAGE PageID 35

TO: Michael Baker TDCJ# 1654093 UNIT: Daniel

FROM: TDCJ Ombudsman Office

SUBJECT: Offender Correspondence

You should always attempt to resolve your problem informally at your unit with staff, department and security supervisors, or the warden. Verbally communicate the problem, or submit an I-60 Offender Request to Official. Sending your concerns to the wrong department or agency is inappropriate and only delays valuable response time. Your prison-related issues can be addressed in a timely manner by directing them to the appropriate responsible TDCJ department listed below.

from home. The family member may submit their request along with a letter Your correspondence was forwarded to this office by the from their doctor to verify the medical disability to Joni White, TDCJ-Correctional Institutions Division director. This office does not Classifications & Records Department at P.O. Box 99; Huntsville, TX, 77342-0099. A transfer is not guaranteed, but the request will be reviewed respond to offender complaints or requests. Please contact the for consideration. appropriate unit staff with your concerns. If informal contact (verbally or I-60) with unit staff does not address your Religion: Any issue related to religious programs; services; holidays; or activities should be directed through the Chaplain at your unit or the concerns you may then utilize the grievance procedure TDCJ Chaplaincy Department at P.O. Box 99, Huntsville, TX, 77342-0099. Offender Grievance Procedure: Issues regarding unit operations, disciplinary disputes, property issues, mail or any other matter relating to Parole: Parole review status issues should be directed to the Board of conditions of care or supervision may be formally addressed through the Pardons and Paroles at P.O. Box 13401, Capitol Station, Austin, TX, 78711. Offender Grievance Procedure if informal contact (verbally or I-60) with unit staff does not address your concerns. Submit your Step 1 grievance to the Education: Issues related to education should be directed to the Grievance Department at your unit. Allow ample time for the Grievance Windham School Principal at your unit. Continuing Education issues should Department to investigate your complaint and return a reply to you. If you be directed to Windham School at P.O. Box 40, Huntsville, TX, 77342. You appeal a decision to the next level, you must submit a Step 2 grievance will not be considered for educational transfer without Windham along with the original answered Step 1 grievance to the Grievance recommendation. Department at your unit. Step 2 grievances are reviewed by the regional authority or the Central Grievance Office if you are dissatisfied with the Trust Fund & Commissary: Issues related to your commissary response on the Step 1. Directing grievances to unrelated offices may account should be directed to Inmate Trust Fund at P.O. Box 629, result in expiration of your grievable time period. Huntsville, TX, 77342. Issues related to commissary purchases, items If you wish to comment on the effectiveness and credibility of the grievance stocked, or special requests should be directed to the commissary procedure, write a letter or send an I-60 request form to the grievance supervisor at your unit. investigator at your unit, or forward to the Central Grievance office at P.O. Box 99, Huntsville, TX 77342-0099. Food Service: Issues related to meals, sack lunches, or special diet menus should be sent to the food service manager for resolution at your If you have already pursued the issue through the Offender unit. If the issue is not resolved at the unit level, then contact the Director of Grievance Procedure at Step 1 and Step 2; no other Food Service at P.O. Box 99, Huntsville, TX, 77342-0099 or utilize the administrative remedies are available to you regarding the Grievance Procedure. You may pursue the matter in any manner you Legal Assistance: Issues such as conviction appeal, detainers, choose outside of the agency. divorce, or child support should be directed to an offender's attorney or State Counsel for Offenders, Legal Services Section at P.O. Box 4005. Offender Protection Issues (OPI): Immediately contact a correctional Huntsville, TX, 77342-4005. officer; security supervisor; warden; assistant warden; or the Classification Department at your unit. Law Library: All offender legal issues related to unit operations such as, access to courts; legal visits with other offenders; world attorney visits; Medical Care: The unit physician is the primary care provider at the indigent, legal or correspondence supplies; postage; policy; and state law unit level and is responsible for the determination of medical treatments, information requests should be directed to the law library supervisor at your medications, medical restrictions, medical passes, and scheduling of unit or researched yourself in the unit law library. If you disagree with a services. You should attempt to resolve your problem at the unit level first response from the law library staff, you may utilize the Grievance by contacting the unit medical administrator in writing (sick call request or I-Procedure. 60 request form) for assistance. Subsequently, if you are not in agreement with the provider's response you may utilize the grievance process. You will Security Threat Group (STG): If you feel you have been incorrectly not be transferred for medical reasons without the approval and identified as a member of a security threat group, or wish to begin the recommendation of unit health care providers. disassociation process, you should contact the Security Threat Group Officer (STGO) at your unit. The STGO will know the proper procedure to Office of Inspector General (OIG) Investigation: Complaints or follow in having your STG status reviewed. You may also write to the allegations relating to excessive or unreported use of force, physical harm Security Threat Group Management Office (STGMO) at P.O. Box 99; by staff, or any crime committed by an offender or employee on state Huntsville, TX, 77342-0099. However, the STG Management Office relies property should be directed to the Office of Inspector General, Investigation more on requests and information submitted to them by the Unit STGO than Division at P.O. Box 4003, Huntsville, TX, 77342. Full details must be directly from offenders. provided in order to initiate an investigation in this manner.

Classification: Issues related to time disputes; time calculations;

sentencing; concurrent time and stacked time; jail time; forfeited good

conduct time; back dated good conduct time; class; promotions; cell

assignment, or information on various programs should be directed to the

Classification Chief at your unit or the Classification & Records Department

made if an immediate family member, listed on your approved visitation list,

is unable to travel long distances. To be considered, you must be at least L1/G3, with no major disciplinary cases for 1 year and more than 200 miles

Transfer: Offenders are not at liberty to choose their unit of assignment. Notify the Classification Department at your unit if you have a reason that warrants a transfer. A request for a hardship transfer may be

at P.O. Box 99, Huntsville, TX, 77342-0099.

nothing to do with a disturbance are often included in a lockdown, and all offenders at a unit are affected by a semi-annual shakedown. procedures for implementing a lockdown or shakedown are well established and have proven effective in restoring order and ensuring the security of the unit, as well as the safety of offenders and staff. That does not mean the process is pleasant for offenders or staff. If you feel procedures violate policies, you may utilize the Grievance Procedure.

Lockdowns & Shakedowns: Unfortunately, offenders who had

The CID Directors Office does not respond to offender complaints or requests.

Case Fexago 100202 Cament 1-2 Filed 03/17/15 Page 27 of Filed 03/17/15 Page 27 of Filed 03/17/15

HI WASHINGTON	X MA	
ADD	***	

	Date Received: AUG 2 b 2014 Date Due:
Offender Name: Michael Baker TDCJ# 1654093	Grievance Code: 8/4 Investigator ID #: 2/56 9
Unit: Price Daniel Housing Assignment: J-207-B Unit where incident occurred: Price Daniel	Extension Date:AUG 2 7 2014

You must try to resolve your problem with a staff member before you submit a formal complaint. The only exception is when appealing the results of a disciplinary hearing. Who did you talk to (name, title)? Major D. Ware When? 19 Aug 2014
What was their response Unit policy, will not change it.
What action was taken? none
State your grievance in the space provided. Please state who, what, when, where and the disciplinary case number if appropriate See and incorporate the following previous grievances, 2014152758, 201305955 and 2011106593.
On 14 August 2014 the unit medical provider prescribed me a "Slow eating pass". This
pass is not being recognized by security staff members.
This pass has been issued to me as a reasonable accommodation for a permanent physical
disability that I have, i.e., gastric bypass. This pass is something that I have been
requesting and been denied multiple times over a period of 4 years, (refer to grievances
listed.) The stated and documented reason why its been so difficult to be issued this
pass is, "Security discourges this". Now that I possess this pass, the security staff
refuses to recognize the validity of it.
On 19 August 2014, I informed Major Ware of this fact and her stated response was
"Thats good, I've instructed my people not to." "We don't have slow eating passes here,
and I've told medical to stop issuing them!" "You get 20 minutes to eat and with what
their serving 20 minutes is enough."
This is intentional interference with prescribed medical treatment. Furthermore,
this appears to be evidence that a policy or practice exist of collusion between the
medical department and unit administration officials that has denied me the benefits
of this pass and that has caused me unnecessary pain and suffering for over 4 years;
something I've have repeatedly alleged in previous grievances, (refer to grievances listed).
The acts/conduct continues to effect additional injury to me and amount to discrimination
concerning my disability. In addition, there appears to be no ligitimate penological
purpose for denying the issuance of such passes.
AUG 2 6 2014